C. DEMONT JUDD. JR.

ATTORNEY AT LAW

2650 WASHINGTON BLVD., SUITE 102 OGDEN. UTAH 84401

621-4015

May 17, 1988

John H. Mabey, Jr. Assistant Attorney General 1636 West North Temple Salt Lake City, Utah 84116

RE: Meadowville Irrigation Rights

librall/

ATTORNEY GENERAL

Dear John:

Thank you for your letter of May 11 and the enclosures. I am forwarding to you copies of certain documents which I have which I think pertain directly to the problem whichpresently exists concerning the inappropriate and unlawful diversion of Little Cheney and that which has been designated by the people in place as North Creek.

I have reviewed all of the documentation which you sent to me with my clients and would make the following observation:

The Red Book, which apparently is the result of the November 17, 1969 Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, raises many, many questions concerning the finding by Judge Lewis Jones, pursuant to the hearing in Civil 299 located on page 13 of the docket book in the Rich County Clerk's office. I attach herewith a copy of that document and call your attention to the very last sentence, "Reservoir and new land in use by J. N. Cook is illegal."

In the red book at page 480, we find a determination as of February 2, 1958, that as claim number 1616, that storage in an unnamed reservoir with a maximum capacity of 15 acre feet with a total yearly diversion under all claims mentioned 151.32 acre feet. Please note that nothing in the judge's orders after November 15, 1956 ever treated a question of the reservoir and the new land in use.

At no point in any of the Findings and Conclusions entered by the Court in November of 1956 or 1958 is there ever a designation that a reservoir could be built. I attach herewith also a copy of the State Engineer's log book, page 472 and 473, wherein Wayne Criddle, on April 23, 1964, granted application number 28020 which is the same application as claim number 1616. This end run by Criddle around the judge's decision of November 15, 1956 should not be permitted. Certainly no new water was

Letter to Assistant Attorney General John H. Mabey May 17, 1988 Page: 2

created between November 15, 1956 and February 2, 1958.

I am satisfied that the minute entry entered in 1956 would be binding upon the State Engineer in April of 1964.

I note further that on page 473 that the State Engineer protected himself by suggesting that the right evidence by the certificate is subject to review by the courts in any adjudication proceeding. There is nothing in the November 17, 1969 finding and decree which suggests the late priority date.

My clients are finding that their 1873 priority with respect to the Little Cheney spring stream, the Andrew's spring, the Judd/Kimball spring, Jebo creek, Tuft's creek and all of the Meadowville drainage is now being interfered with by a diversion which Judge Lewis Jones suggested was illegal.

In the March 24, 1958 interlocutory Order, the State Engineer was required to formulate a report of his activities and present the same not later than Thursday, November 13, 1958 and the Court suggested that only during 1958 was the Order to Apply until and unless it was amended by the Court.

I do not believe the August, 1967, so called 'decree' for Rich County Division was ever noticed. My clients were not represented and there is nothing in that decree (which resulted in the Red Book) which suggests the interest of the Weston's in Meadowville drainage was ever addressed.

Paragraph three of the Judgment suggests that all of the remaining protest and objections to the Revised Determination of Water Rights are dismissed. The Court gave no opportunity for the Westons to be heard, and in any event, all of the Weston's claims are senior to (by 95 years) the now difficult claim of J.N. Cook in a reservoir.

Please understand that we have no objections to Mr. Cook using any of the water to which he is entitled but we do object to the fact that he had diverted the water, keeps his reservoir full and sprinkles, with the result that the Andrew's Spring and the Judd/Kimball Spring are now drying up. No water is now available to my clients after, approximately, April 15. This year in particular, there is no water at all available to them. Yet they see the day after day the sprinkling of the new property on the part of J. N. Cook.

That which we have said of J. N. Cook is also true of Dell N. Cook. Dell has, without any authorization or any blessing

Letter to Assistant Attorney General John H. Mabey May 17, 1988 Page: 3

from the State Engineer or the Red Book or any other place, translated that which was an irrigation, stock water allocation of water to a sprinkled use and contrary to and inimical to the rights of the Westons. In other words, no water never gets below and recharges the springs or the creek below.

Please be aware of the fact that Tuft creek is a dry creek. Jebo is a fairly good creek, but neither Tuft nor Jebo is recharged by Cheney or by the Judd/Kimball springs. Since the Cooks began to capture the water and utilize it in a different way. Their utilization is much greater now than it was on page 480 of the Red Book which was 50.44 acres.

If some sunny day, you want to meet me I would be happy to meet you in Meadowville and take you to the location of Judd/Kimball Spring and let you see the lay of the land. I do recommend that we do it in the early part of June, if at all possible. Would you call me and let me know and, after which, we can play golf.

Sincerely yours,

C. DeMont Judd, Jr. Attorney at Law

CDJ/mat

PROBATE No. 283

tregularly this 15th Day of November A. D. 1956 . Ross M. Pope was sworn and I and George D. Preston was sworn and testified as witness to the will of C. W. Pope.

CIVIL No. 417

on regularly for trial this 15th Day of November, A. D. 1956 the Court sitting jury. Livis Cornia being represented in Court by his Attorney lir. Kipp, and Roger Jackson ing present and represented by his Attorney Samuel Corson.

was aworn and testified for the plaintiff and was cross examined by Counsel for endant. Roger Jackson was sworn and testified for defense and was crosss examined by Counsel

WESTON FREAKS PESTON AND

Petitioners

. e. e. **; a)**

LINE COLLEGE WALL

eren g**epe**gny – i

μaρt. .

418695.

15 mg .

A. 10

was stated the

and the summer extinction of their

THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE OWNER, AND THE OWNER, AN

D. TASK THE GIOT.

, y

N COOK DELL COOK, ETHEL M.

of the Later for the party his matter case of regularly for trial this 15th day of November, 1956 the Court sitting without by John Weston Frank Weston and Joseph R. Weston, P. Stitioners being present in Court and epresented by their counsel Edward Clyde, Esq. and the defendants being present in Court and eing represented by their Attorneys. J. N. Cook being present and represented by Hilton A. Oman, and Still Fook being present and being represented by George D. Preston, Esq., and Farrell Juhnson eing present for Filsworth Johnson Estate and being represented by Paul D. Thatcher, Esq.

in minich with that thed no

wing present for Lisworth Johnson.

Arold Donaldson; Surveyor for State Engineer's office was sworn and testified. Maps were entered as Exhibit Law. 2, see the List of the Li

mildson croft examined by Defense Attorney Milton A. Oman.

Pa. following times were sworn and testified and were cross examined: Nr. Nubert C. Lombert he State Engineer's Office; J. N. Cook, Dell Cook, Jean Cook and John Seston

the State Engineer's Office, J. M. Son, The State Engineer to prepare a proposed amendment to Decree ition provents to first cause. State Engineer to prepare a proposed amendment to Decree display by everyone on pro ratio basis and resubmit to the Court. Fartles to have therebearing the proposed cause. Reservoir and new land in use by J. M. Cook is Illegal. POPOSED SANTERSON)

(Arris Orio PROPOSED SANTAR)
(TION OF TRANSPORT OF TRANS was and market their for his te. 4 feb. the platniff mit. Civil No. 299 result eve Louis Loss G. Creek ... ou t. Snjet I tota 12ep jan . i. - ;; 1.5 000000000 **))** ... 14 Civil No. 405

with my construct .

and Brown to the com-سنزلد والمجاورة n taken under advisement, the Court now awards \$10.00 to Plaintiff on

Rundel may

fertificate of appropriation of water. State of weak

Gertificate 200 6749. application no 28020 1. name and address of appropriator: Jaseph M. Gack, Garden Gity, Utale Wherear, it has been made to appear to satisfaction of the undereigned that the appropriation of water has been perfectely under the above numbered application? accordance with the Saws of Utalis Therefore, Be it known that the & Engineer hereby certifier that sail appropriator is entitled to the use Water subject to Brian rights, if long 2. Periland nature of rese: Drigation from april 1 to Sept 3 Womestic from to Stockwaling from to municipal from to 3. Some of Supply Sittle Spring Sta 4 klumage hrea: Bear Rener 5. Quantity of water. 15.0 here ft. 6. Privily of Right: Dehmary, 2,1958 7. Point of Aleversion: 5 865. flans ft from NE Cor. Sec. 18, TIBN, R. 52 Rich County, Utal 8. method of diversion: Earth fill di 16 ft high and 425 ft dang. 9. Place and Extent of rese:

agation of 66.52 area of level described 12.17 TISH RSE SLBM degal Duhlir. SW/XNW1/4 SE 1/4 NW1/4 6.03 NEILY SWILY 25.53 NW 1/4 SW 1/4 5w1/4 Sw1/4 SE1/4 SW1/4 66.52 here total are imgatel. Other right apportened - water ween Mumberel 743, 745, 854, 855, 856. The works emplayed in this appropriation ento be aperatel and munitained in che Manner and Condition as well wend waste of water. This certificate tilled the broken to use only sufferent ter from all right combined to he fright evilenced by the Contification subject to remen by the Courte in adjudication proceeding. my hand and offixed the sent of office this 23 al day of agril 1-164 reagne H' Crildle wayne. W. Crilde leal of Slate State Emganer. In the event the right emidence by this certificate in transferred, a Carry of mich transfer should be furnished 11. Egeneer by the Party acquiring right.